UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the	
District of New Jersey	
United States of America) v.) Jamar Hurd)	Case No: 03-844-20 (KSH)
Date of Previous Judgment: 05/23/2007)	USM No: 04-7061 (SDW)
Date of Previous Judgment: 05/23/2007) (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Louise Arkel, AFPD Defendant's Attorney
(coe Date of East Amended Adagment it Applicable)	Detendant's Anorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of the defendant the Director o § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	sed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ☐DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: V Previous Guideline Range: 168 to 210 months	Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range: 29 V Amended Guideline Range: 140 175 175 176 177 178 178 178 178 178 178
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE ✓ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: 10/07/2008	XIALI WILL TANK
Effective Date: (If different from order date)	Judge's signature Katharine S. Hayden, U.S.D.J. Printed name and title